IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABADERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 23582 of 2002

Between:

M/s. Crystal Family Club, a unit of Triple City Club

Pvt Ltd. Rep by its Managing Director, M.Ankineedu Prasad,

S/o M.Chinna Veeraiah, Premises No. 13-6-445/A/1,

Konijeti Enclave, Phase -I, Ring Road, Mehdipatnam,

Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Commissioner of Police,
Hyderabad.
2 The Joint Commissioner of Police,(Admn).,
Hyderabad.
3 The Deputy Commissioner of Police, West Zone,
Hyderabad.
4 The Deputy Commissioner of Police, Task Force,
Hyderabad.
5 The Asst. Commissioner of Police, Asifnagar Division,
Hyderabad.
6 The Station House Officer, Tappachabudra P.S.,
Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ of certiorari or any other appropriate writ & to quash the impugned order of the R1 in proceedings No. L&O/A4/157/2000 dt 15-11-02 served on the petitioner

on 23-11-02 at 11-30 PM & direct the respondents not to interfere with the activities of the petitioner as per licence No. 17/2000 dt 5-5-00 & subsequent renewals from time to time.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.V.VENKATARAMANA

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

M/s.Crystal Family Club, a unit of Triple City Club Private Limited is the petitioner. The petitioner was granted Licence No.17/2000, dated 05.05.2000, which was valid up to 31.12.2000. The same was renewed for the next year and also for the following year from 01.01.2002 to 31.12.2002.

The sixth respondent registered Crime No.158 of 2000 under Sections 3 & 4 of the A.P.Gaming Act, 1974. Therefore, the first respondent issued a show cause notice of cancellation. The Managing Director of the Club submitted an explanation. After considering the same, the first respondent cancelled the licence. Assailing the same, the present writ petition is filed.

This Court while admitting the writ petition on 27.11.2002 passed interim orders suspending the impugned order. Therefore, by reason of the said interim order, the licence was valid up to 31.12.2002. It is not known whether the licences were renewed or not. Insofar as the cancellation of Licence No.17/2000, which was valid up to 31.12.2002 is concerned, by reason of the interim orders, the same remained valid and therefore, nothing survives in the writ petition.

The writ petition is therefore, dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

28.06.2005

vs

To

1 The Commissioner of Police,
Hyderabad.
2 The Joint Commissioner of Police,(Admn).,
Hyderabad.
3 The Deputy Commissioner of Police, West Zone,
Hyderabad.
4 The Deputy Commissioner of Police, Task Force,
Hyderabad.
5 The Asst. Commissioner of Police, Asifnagar Division,
Hyderabad.
6 The Station House Officer, Tappachabudra P.S.,
Hyderabad.

7 2 CCs to Government Pleader for Home, High

Court Buildings, Hyderabad. (OUT)

8 2 CD copies